

QCD renormalization for the top-quark mass
in a mass geometrical mean hierarchy

Daniel Ng

Institute of Field Physics

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of North Carolina

Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3255

QCD renormalization for the top-quark mass is calculated in a mass geometrical mean hierarchy, $m_d m_b = m_s^2$ and $m_u m_t = m_c^2$. The physical mass, $m_t(m_t) = 160 \pm 50 \text{ GeV}$ is obtained, which agrees very well with electroweak precision measurement.

PACS numbers : 12.15Ji, 11.30Hv

In the quark sector within the context of the standard model, there are 6 parameters for the quark masses and 3 for the mixing angles (if CP phase is not included). From the empirical facts that $V_{us} \simeq \sqrt{m_d/m_s}$ and $V_{us}^2 \simeq V_{cb} \simeq m_s/m_b$, the mass of down quarks are related by $m_d m_b = m_s^2$. Inspired by this geometrical mean hierarchy, Ng and Ng [1] suggested that the up quark masses were also related by $m_u m_t = m_c^2$ and the third angle was given by $V_{ub} \simeq m_c/m_t$. In this case, there are only 4 parameters for the quark masses and the mixing angles are given by the ratios of the quark masses. Since there is large experimental uncertainty of the measurement of V_{ub} [2], therefore we use the geometric mass relation to determine the top-quark mass. Using $m_u(1GeV) = 5.1 \pm 1.5 MeV$ and $m_c(1GeV) = 1.35 \pm 0.05 GeV$ [3], we find that $m_t(1GeV) = 360 \pm 110 GeV$. Within one standard deviation, this value still agrees with the electroweak precision measurement which predicts that $m_t = 124_{-34}^{+28+20} GeV$ [4] and $m_t = 140 \pm 35 GeV$ [5].

In fact, the masses are energy dependent. In low energy, QCD effect is large and more important than the electroweak effect. The two-loop renormalization group equations for the strong coupling constant and the quark mass are given by

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \alpha = -\beta_0 \frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi} - \beta_1 \frac{\alpha^3}{8\pi^2}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} m = -\left[\gamma_0 \frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 \right] m, \quad (2)$$

with $\beta_0 = 11 - (2/3)N_f$, $\beta_1 = 102 - (38/3)N_f$, $\gamma_0 = 2$ and $\gamma_1 = 101/12 - (5/18)N_f$. N_f is the number of quark flavors and μ is the energy scale. The contribution from the electroweak sector is expected to be smaller than that of QCD in low energy regime, $\mu \leq m_t$. In addition, we use $N_f = 5$ for $1GeV \leq \mu \leq m_t$. Since all β_0 , β_1 , γ_0 and γ_1 are positive, the running mass, $m(\mu)$, decreases as μ increases. Therefore, the physical mass of the top-quark, $m_t(m_t)$, is expected to be substantially smaller than $360 GeV$.

The geometrical mean relations are more precisely written as $m_d(\mu)m_b(\mu) = [m_s(\mu)]^2$ and $m_u(\mu)m_t(\mu) = [m_c(\mu)]^2$. Since QCD interaction is flavor diagonal, therefore these relations

hold for $\mu \leq m_t$. In Ref. [3], the authors obtained $m_d(1GeV) = 8.9 \pm 2.6 MeV$, $m_s(1GeV) = 175 \pm 55 MeV$ and $m_b(1GeV) = 5.3 \pm 0.1 GeV$ which follow the geometrical mean hierarchy. In the up quark sector, $m_u(1GeV) = 5.1 \pm 1.5 MeV$ and $m_c(1GeV) = 1.035 \pm 0.05 GeV$, where $\Lambda_{QCD} = 100 MeV$ and $N_f = 3$ are used, imply that $m_t(1GeV) = 360 \pm 110 GeV$. For $\mu \geq 1 GeV$, we will use $N_f = 5$ in Eq.(1) and (2). In the lowest approximation, α and m_t in Eq. (1) and (2), which can be solved exactly, are given by

$$\alpha(\mu) = \frac{\alpha(1GeV)}{1 + \beta_0 \frac{\alpha(1GeV)}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\mu}{1GeV}}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$m_t(\mu) = m_t(1GeV) \left[1 + \beta_0 \frac{\alpha(1GeV)}{2\pi} \ln \frac{\mu}{1GeV} \right]^{-2 \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0}}. \quad (4)$$

From the global average [6], $\alpha(M_Z) = 0.122 \pm 0.006$, we obtain $\alpha(1GeV) = 0.37 \pm 0.06$. Therefore, $m_t = 190 \pm 60 GeV$ is obtained. On the other hand, Eq.(1) and (2), keeping β_1 and γ_1 terms, can be solved numerically. The result for $m_t(m_t)$ is

$$m_t(m_t) = 160 \pm 50 GeV \quad (5)$$

which agrees very well with the mass range predicted from electroweak precision measurement [4,5].

In this note, we have calculated the QCD renormalization for the top-quark mass predicted by the mass geometrical mean hierarchy, namely, $m_d m_b = m_s^2$ and $m_u m_t = m_c^2$. We obtain $m_t = 160 \pm 50 GeV$ which agrees very well with the prediction from the electroweak precision measurement. The calculation was based on the fact that the geometrical mean hierarchy holds for $\mu \leq m_t$ since QCD interaction is flavor diagonal and is much larger than that of electroweak interaction. New physics which enforces this geometrical mean hierarchy will show up when the energy is above m_t . In the lepton sector, the lepton masses, which do not follow the geometrical mean hierarchy, however are related by $m_e m_\tau \simeq (1/9) m_\mu^2$ which can be achieved in a unified theory [7]. With this interesting mass hierarchy, we require only 4 parameters, instead of 9, in the quark sector. Discovering a top-quark with mass

ranging from 110GeV to 210GeV will be an indication for this proposed mass geometrical mean hierarchy. A more reliable calculation for m_u is necessary in order to obtain a more definite prediction of the top-quark mass.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank K. S. Babu and Y. J. Ng for discussion. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG05-95ER-40219.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Ng and Y. J. Ng, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**6**,2243(1991).
- [2] Review of Particle Properties, Phys. Lett. B**239**, 1(1990).
- [3] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rept. **87**, 77(1982).
- [4] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D**44**,817(1991).
- [5] G. Altarelli, CERN Preprint CERN-TH-62545/91.
- [6] The OPAL collaboration, CERN Preprint CERN-PPE/92-18.
- [7] H. Georgi and C. Jarksog, Phys. Lett. B**86**, 279(1979).